1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 **COMMISSIONERS** 3 MARC SPITZER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL 4 JEFF HATCH-MILLER MIKE GLEASON 5 KRISTIN K. MAYES 6 In the matter of DOCKET NO. S-03510A-02-0000 7 ELLIOT CROSBY 10253 East Jerome DECISION NO. __66965_ 8 Mesa, Arizona 85208, ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, ORDER 9 MARSHA CROSBY OF RESTITUTION, ORDER FOR 2898 East Harwell Road ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES AND 10 Gilbert, Arizona 85234-1476, **CONSENT TO SAME** BY: ELLIOT CROSBY 11 Respondent. RESPONDENT ELLIOT CROSBY ("CROSBY") elects to permanently waive any right to 12 13 a hearing and appeal under Articles 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. §44-1801 et seq. ("Securities Act") with respect to this Order To Cease And Desist, Order of Restitution, 14 Order for Administrative Penalties and Consent to Same by: Elliot Crosby ("Order"). CROSBY 15 16 admits the jurisdiction of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"); neither admits 17 nor denies the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order; and consents to 18 the entry of this Order by the Commission. 19 I. FINDINGS OF FACT 20 21 1. Respondent CROSBY at all times material hereto was a resident of Arizona. At all 22 times material hereto, CROSBY was licensed to sell insurance in the state of Arizona, but was not 23 registered as a securities salesperson or an investment advisor representative in Arizona. 24 Alpha Telcom, Inc. 2. 25 At all times material hereto, Alpha Telcom, Inc. ("Alpha") was an Oregon corporation located at 2751 Highland Avenue, Grants Pass, Oregon 97526.

- 3. At all times material hereto, American Telecommunications Company, Inc. ("ATC") was a Nevada corporation formed as a wholly owned subsidiary of Alpha on September 17, 1998. Originally named ATC, Inc., the name was changed to American Telecommunications Company, Inc., sometime in the first half of 2000. Its address was the same as Alpha's, but was later changed to 620 S.W. 4th Street, Grants Pass, Oregon 97526, then to 2900 Vine Street, Suite J, Grants Pass, Oregon 97526, and then to 942 S.W. 6th Street, Suite G, Grants Pass, Oregon 97526.
- 4. At all times material hereto, Paul S. Rubera was the president and control person of Alpha, and the control person of ATC.
- 5. ATC was organized by Rubera and operated in conjunction with and as an alter ego of Alpha. The two companies were controlled by Rubera, and there was in reality no real difference between the two companies.
- 6. ATC was presented to the public as the sales organization for Alpha. CROSBY, directly or indirectly, entered into agreements with Alpha and/or ATC pursuant to which CROSBY sold investment contracts involving Alpha pay telephones (the "Alpha investment contracts") within or from the state of Arizona.
- 7. At the time CROSBY entered into agreements to sell the Alpha investment contracts, Alpha and/or ATC and/or their affiliates or associates advised CROSBY that the investments in question were not required to be registered as securities under Arizona law, and provided him with copies of attorney opinion letters advising that the investments were not securities.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Alpha sold pay telephones with telephone service agreements pursuant to which the investor would share in the profits of the pay telephone. The telephones were presented to potential investors with four options in the way of service contracts, each varying in the amount of service provided. The four options varied from Level 1, which included a minimum of service, to Level 4, which provided full service to the purchaser, including choosing a site and installing the telephone, collecting all revenue from the telephone's operation,

Decision No.	66965

repairing the telephone when necessary, and even repurchasing or buying back the telephone at the investor's option. Under Level 4, Alpha would split the net proceeds with the investor on a 70/30 basis, with Alpha retaining 70% and the investor receiving 30%. The price of the pay telephones was the same regardless of the service option chosen, \$5,000.00 per telephone. CROSBY sold only investment contracts under Level 4. A "typical return" on each pay telephone was projected as 14% per year. In practice, all purchasers received \$58.34 per month per pay telephone purchased, which amounted to exactly 14% per annum.

- 9. CROSBY told prospective investors that their investments were insured, which was based on Crosby's good faith belief. The insurer named varied. Mentioned most often was the Northern and Western Insurance Company of Grand Turk, Turks and Caicos Islands, British West Indies ("N&W"). Also mentioned were Lloyd's of London and four other insurance companies listed as re-insurers. N&W was a captive insurance company wholly owned by Paul S. Rubera, the President and control person of Alpha, and Robert S. Harrison of Richmond, Texas. N&W is not authorized to write insurance in Arizona. On information and belief, N&W was not authorized to write insurance in any state in which the Alpha pay telephones were located. In a letter dated August 15, 2001, Harrison stated: "There is not now, nor was there ever any insurance coverage for Alpha Telcom, Inc."
- 10. CROSBY presented Alpha to prospective customers as a stable profitable and innovative company that had been in business since 1985. Alpha was said to be selling and providing a "turn-key" operation.
 - 11. Sales agents were paid commissions from 12% to 19% per telephone sold.
- 12. Pursuant to this commission schedule, CROSBY sold Alpha investment contracts to [number] of individuals or entities within or from the state of Arizona from June, 2000 through May, 2001, for a total purchase amount of \$2,130,000, and earned commissions in an amount exceeding \$100,000.
 - 13. Alpha has a long regulatory history in which state securities regulators have found that

Decision No.	66965

1	these purchases of p	ay telephones and accompanying service contracts were unregistered securities in	
2	the form of investment contracts that were sold by unregistered persons and/or entities, and ordered		
3	Alpha and those working with it to cease and desist. The majority of Arizona investors, and possibly		
4	all Arizona investor	s, were not aware of these orders. The orders that could have been disclosed to	
5	investors include:		
6 7	a.	February 2, 1999, Cease and Desist Order issued by Pennsylvania Securities Commission in <i>In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al.</i> , No. 9812-06.	
8	b.	November 17, 1999, Cease and Desist Order issued by North Carolina Secretary of State in <i>In the Matter of the North Carolina Securities Division v. ATC, Inc., Paul Rubera, et al.</i> , No. 99-038-CC.	
10	c.	June 30, 1999, Temporary Order of Prohibition issued by Illinois Secretary of State in <i>In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc.</i> , No. 9900201.	
11 12	d.	January 14, 2000, Consent Order of Prohibition issued by Illinois Secretary of State in <i>In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc.</i> , No. 9900201, Alpha agreeing to offer rescission to all Illinois purchasers.	
13 14	e.	November 24, 1999, Cease and Desist Order issued by Wisconsin Department of Financial Institutions in <i>In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc. and Paul S. Rubera, et al.</i> , No. S-99225(EX).	
15 16	f.	March 7, 2000, Temporary Cease and Desist Ordered issued by Rhode Island Department of Business Regulation in <i>In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc. and ATC, Inc.</i>	
17 18	g,	July 18, 2000, Florida Department of Banking and Finance filed administrative action against Alpha and others, seeking a Cease and Desist Order.	
19 20	h.	October 24, 2000, Desist and Refrain Order issued by California Department of Corporations.	
21	14. Acti	ons that have proceeded against Alpha since CROSBY ceased selling the	
22			
23	a.	July 26, 2001, Cease and Desist Order issued by Ohio Commissioner of	
24		Securities;	
25 26	b.	August 27, 2001, Temporary Restraining Order issued by United States District Court, District of Oregon, in SEC v. Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. CV 01-1283 PA	
20		4	
		Decision No <u>66965</u>	
	11		

1 2	c. September 5, 2001, Cease and Desist Order issued by Arkansas Securities Department in <i>In the Matter of Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al.</i> , No. 01-36-S.	
3	d. September 6, 2001, Preliminary Injunction issued by United States District Court, District of Oregon, in SEC v. Alpha Telcom, Inc., et al., No. CV 01-1283 PA.	
456	e. February 7, 2002, Final Judgment of Permanent Injunction issued by United States District Court, District of Oregon, in <i>SEC v. Alpha Telcom</i> , <i>Inc.</i> , <i>et al.</i> , No. CV 01-1283 PA.	
7	f. March 13, 2002, Final Order to Cease and Desist issued by Washington Department of Financial Institutions in <i>In the Matter of Alpha Telcom</i> , <i>Inc.</i> , <i>et al.</i> , No. SDO-21-02.	
9	The SEC's Complaint in the United States District Court, District of Oregon, alleged that Alpha	
10	and its affiliates engaged in a Ponzi-like scheme that never generated enough income to pay	
11	expenses, and that the money paid to existing investors always came from sales to new investors.	
12	Several days before the Temporary Restraining Order was issued on August 27, 2001, Alpha	
13	sought bankruptcy protection in Florida pursuant to chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. A court-	
14	appointed receiver subsequently took over the remaining operations of Alpha. Alpha consented	
15	on October 19, 2001 to entry of the Final Judgment of Permanent Injunction against it, but did	
16	not admit the allegations of the Complaint.	
17	15. Some other jurisdictions had entered orders that the Alpha investment contracts,	
18	or similar pay telephone investments, were not securities.	
19	16. Alpha's monthly payments to investors ceased prior to August, 2001.	
20	II.	
21	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
22	1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the	
23	Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act.	
24	2. CROSBY offered or sold securities within or from Arizona, within the meaning of	
25	A.R.S. §§ 44-1801(15), 44-1801(21), and 44-1801(26).	
26	3. CROSBY violated A.R.S. § 44-1841 by offering or selling securities that were	
	5 Decision No66965	
	Decision 1.0. <u>00702</u>	
,		

neither registered nor exempt from registration. CROSBY violated A.R.S. § 44-1842 by offering or selling securities while neither registered as a dealer or salesman nor exempt from registration. CROSBY's conduct is grounds for a cease and desist order pursuant to A.R.S. 5. § 44-2032. 6. CROSBY's conduct is grounds for an order of restitution pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032. 7. CROSBY's conduct is grounds for administrative penalties under A.R.S. § 44-2036. Ш. **ORDER** THEREFORE, on the basis of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and CROSBY's consent to the entry of this Order, the Commission finds that the following relief is appropriate, in the public interest, and necessary for the protection of investors: IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that CROSBY, and any of CROSBY's agents, employees, successors and assigns, permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that CROSBY shall pay restitution to investors shown on the records of the Commission in the amount of \$100,000.00, plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this order until paid in full. Payment shall be made by cashier's check or money order payable to the "State of Arizona" to be placed in an interest-bearing account maintained and controlled by the Arizona Attorney General, with the initial payment of \$1,000.00 due and payable on the date of this Order, and \$1,000.00 per month due and payable on the first day of each month, beginning the first day of the month following the date of entry of this Order, until the restitution amount is paid in full. The Arizona Attorney General shall disburse the funds on a pro rata basis to investors. Any funds that the Attorney

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1	General is unable to disburse shall revert to the state of Arizona.	
2	If CROSBY does not comply with this order of restitution, any outstanding balance shall	
3	be in default and shall be immediately due and payable.	
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036, that CROSBY shall pay an	
5	administrative penalty in the amount of \$10,000. Payment shall be made by cashier's check or	
6	money order, payable to the "State of Arizona," in installments of \$1,000.00 per month, with the	
7	initial installment being due on the first day of the month following the final payment of restitution	
8	as herein provided.	
9	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately.	
10	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
11		
12	/s/ Marc Spitzer William A. Mundell Jeffrey Hatch-Miller CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER	
13	CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER	
14	Lowell Gleason Kristin Mayes COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER	
15		
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation	
17	Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the	
18	Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this <u>11th</u> day of <u>May</u> , 2004.	
19		
20	/s/ Michael Kearns for BCM_ BRIAN C. McNEIL	
21	Executive Secretary	
22		
23	DISSENT	
24	This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Yvonne McFarlin, Executive Assistant to the Executive Secretary, voice phone number 602-542-3931, E-mail	
25	ymcfarlin@acc.state.az.us	
26	Crosby Consent.doc (KCD)	
	7	
	Decision No. <u>66965</u>	

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- 1. ELLIOT CROSBY ("CROSBY"), an individual, admits the jurisdiction of the Commission over the subject matter of this proceeding. CROSBY acknowledges that he has been fully advised of his right to a hearing to present evidence and call witnesses and CROSBY knowingly and voluntarily waives any and all rights to a hearing before the Commission and all other rights otherwise available under Article 11 of the Securities Act and Title 14 of the Arizona Administrative Code. CROSBY acknowledges that this Order To Cease And Desist, Order of Restitution, Order for Administrative Penalties and Consent to Same by: Elliot Crosby ("Order") constitutes a valid final order of the Commission.
- CROSBY knowingly and voluntarily waives any right under Article 12 of the Securities Act to judicial review by any court by way of suit, appeal, or extraordinary relief resulting from the entry of this Order.
- CROSBY acknowledges and agrees that this Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.
- CROSBY acknowledges that he has been represented by counsel in this matter, he
 has reviewed this Order with his attorney and understands all terms it contains.
- CROSBY neither admits nor denies the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order.
- 6. By consenting to the entry of this Order, CROSBY agrees not to take any action or to make, or permit to be made, any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any Finding of Fact or Conclusion of Law in this Order or creating the impression that this Order is without factual basis. CROSBY will undertake steps necessary to assure that all of his agents and employees, if any, understand and comply with this agreement.
- 7. While this Order settles this administrative matter between CROSBY and the Commission, CROSBY understands that this Order does not preclude the Commission from instituting other administrative proceedings based on violations that are not addressed by this

Decision No.	66965	

Docket No. S-03510A-02-0000 1 Order. 8. 2 CROSBY understands that this Order does not preclude the Commission from 3 referring this matter to any governmental agency for administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to the matters addressed by this Order. 4 CROSBY understands that this Order does not preclude any other agency or officer 5 of the state of Arizona or its subdivisions from instituting administrative, civil or criminal 6 7 proceedings that may be related to matters addressed by this Order. 8 10. CROSBY agrees that he will not apply to the state of Arizona for registration as a securities dealer or salesman or for licensure as an investment adviser or investment adviser 9 representative until such time as all restitution and penalties under this Order are paid in full. 10 CROSBY agrees that he will not exercise any control over any entity that offers or 11 sells securities or provides investment advisory services, within or from Arizona. 12 13 CROSBY agrees that until restitution and penalties are paid in full, CROSBY will 14 notify the Director of the Securities Division within 30 days of any change in home address or any change in CROSBY's ability to pay amounts due under this Order. 15 CROSBY understands that default shall render him liable to the Commission for its 16 13. costs of collection and interest at the maximum legal rate. 17 14. CROSBY agrees that he will continue to cooperate with the Securities Division 18 including, but not limited to, providing complete and accurate testimony at any hearing in this 19 20 matter and cooperating with the state of Arizona in any related investigation or any other matters arising from the activities described in this Order. 21 22 23 24

1	15. CROSBY consents to the entry of this Order and agrees to be fully bound by its
2	terms and conditions. If CROSBY breaches any provision of this Order, the Commission may
3	vacate this Order and restore this case to its active docket.
4	
5	_/s/ Elliot Crosby
6	ELLIOT CROSBY
7	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this <u>13th</u> day of <u>April</u> , 2004.
8	/s/ Beronica Sandoval
9	NOTARY PUBLIC
10	My Commission Expires:
11	4/14/2006
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	10 Decision No. <u>66965</u>